

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR              | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/084,237  | 02/26/2002  | Frederick L. Jordan               | ORYXE.022A             | 2035             |
| 26271 7590 05/05/2004 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY |             | (A)                               | EXAMINER               |                  |
|   |             | Received                          | TOOMER, CEPHIA D       |                  |
| SUITE 5100  | NE I        |                                   | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095  |             | MAY 0 7 2004                      | 1714                   |                  |
|   |             | Docke. Sogginuson<br>Client Oryxe | DATE MAILED: 05/05/200 | 4                |
|   |             | Attoiney: JES                     |                        |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h/

| •   | ·   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | ·   | 10/084,237   | JORDAN, FREDERICK L.   |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   |   | Cephia D. Toomer   | 1714   |
| Period fo   | <ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>   | on appears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address   |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided period for the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |  |  |
| 2a)⊠<br>3)□   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur  | This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal mat  | •  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |  |  |
| 4)⊠<br>5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□                            | Claim(s) <u>28-81</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>28-81</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a   | thdrawn from consideration.  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Exa<br>The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [<br>Applicant may not request that any objection of<br>Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of<br>The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)  |
|   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |
| 12) <u></u> / a)[                                   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  | ments have been received.<br>ments have been received in A<br>e priority documents have been<br>ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | pplication No received in this National Stage  |
| *8  | ee the attached detailed Office action for  | a list of the certified copies not   | received.  |
| Attachment  |   | 57   |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice<br>3) 🔲 Inform                          | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date   | 8) Paper No(s  | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |

Application/Control Number: 10/084,237

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed February 12, 2004 in which claims 1-27 were canceled and claims 28-81 were added.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 35, 41, 52, 62, 69, 79 and their dependents are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

  The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original filed specification and claims, claim 10 for instance, do not support benzene, o-xylene, m-xylene, p-xylene, cyclohexane, hexane, octanes, nonane, 2-cycle oil, gasoline and resid fuel as a diluent for the additive composition. The specification teaches that these compounds are used as solvents.
- 3. Claims 28, 48, 49, 54, 55, 58, 59, 64, 65, 73, 75, 76, 81 and their dependents are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

-----

Claims 28, 48, 58, and 75 are rejected because it is not clear how the vegetable oil and nut oil thermal stabilizers differ from the plant oil extract derived from grain.

Vegetables and nuts are also grains.

Claims 49 and 54 are substantial duplicates.

In claims 55 and 65, "addition" should read – additive --.

Claims 59 and 64 are substantial duplicates.

In claim 73, "feed" should read - fuel - and "addition" should read - additive --.

Claims 76 and 81 are substantial duplicates.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,237

**Art Unit: 1714** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner

was Dooner

Art Unit 1714

| •  | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)               |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | 10/084,237                       | JORDAN, FREDERICK L.       |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner-initiated interview dammary   | Examiner                         | Art Unit                   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cephia D. Toomer                 | 1714                       |  |  |  |  |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:           |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .  | (3)                              |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (2)  | (4)                              |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 29 April 2004   | Time:                            |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .   | icant's representative)          |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Part I.  |                                  | •                          |  |  |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |                                  | •                          |  |  |  |  |
| Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:  |                                  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet   | IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA          | S DISCUSSED:               |  |  |  |  |
| Part III.  |                                  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application  | ant/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |  |  |  |  |

Application No. 10/084,237

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned Mr. Schneider to discuss the 112 issues. Since Mr Scheider was not available the examiner left a voice mail message regarding the issues and informed Mr. Schneider that she needed to hear from him by noon of 4/30/04. The examiner did not hear from Mr. Schneider and is sending out the office action..